

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,085		01/27/2004	Keith W. Holt	03-2143	2432	
24319	7590	10/21/2005		EXAMINER		
LSI LOGIO			WACHSMA	N, HAL D		
MS: D-106	210 21 11 12	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILPITAS,	CA 950	35		2857		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/766,085	HOLT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hal D. Wachsman	2857			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHI - Extra after - If N - Faiter	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some properties of the provided by the Office later than three months after the noned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 August 2005.				
,	•	This action is non-final.	·			
/ _	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,	closed in accordance with the practice und					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers	•				
9)[\	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on 12 August 2005 is/a		pjected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[] The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for)	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
	ent(c)	•				
Attachme	int(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,085

Art Unit: 2857

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

- a) The Specification Amendments section of the reply filed 6-27-05 contains the amendment "Please correct Figure 1 using the replacement drawing sheet attached hereto." However each section of an amendment document must begin on a separate sheet and thus it is improper to have instructions for a drawing amendment in the specification amendments section of a reply and there was not a separate section for drawing amendments in the reply filed 6-27-05. Appropriate correction is required.
- b) The replacement abstract in the reply filed 6-27-05 is improper under 37 C.F.R. 1.72 because the abstract was not submitted on a separate sheet. Appropriate correction is required.
- c) The specification amendment to rewrite the second full paragraph starting at line 13 of page 7, in the reply filed 6-27-05, is improper under 37 C.F.R. 1.121 because a single brackets and not a double brackets was used to delete the period after the number 402. Appropriate correction is required.
- d) Claims 1-7, 15, 16 and 20 are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, line 7, cites "...exchanging test signals between the first circuit board to the second circuit board" however as "exchanging" indicates that the test signals are going in two directions, from the second circuit board to the first circuit board as well as from the first circuit board to the second circuit board, was this intended to be "... exchanging test signals between the first circuit board and the second

Application/Control Number: 10/766,085

Art Unit: 2857

circuit board" ? Claim 2, line 1, cites "claim1" which should be "claim 1" (i.e. no space was left between the word "claim" and "1". Claim 3, line 4, cites "its output signal path" however the use of the pronoun "its" adds vagueness with respect to what exactly possesses the output signal path. Claim 3, line 5, cites "the selection input path" however the antecedent basis is "selection input signal path". Claim 15, lines 2-3, cite "the modulated functional signals" which lacks clear antecedent basis. Claim 16, lines 2-3, cite "the encoded functional signals" which lacks clear antecedent basis. Claim 20, lines 2-3, cite "a band associated with encoding of the functional signal" but a band of what exactly is being referred to here? The examiner asks the applicant to better claim the limitations cited above. While the examiner understands the intentions of the applicant he feels confusion could be drawn from the limitations cited above. Appropriate correction is required.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 2. examiner should be directed to Hal D. Wachsman whose telephone number is 571-272-2225. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

Art Unit: 2857

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hal D Wachsman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2857

HW October 18, 2005